Review

Cytokinins and shoot development

Stephen H. Howell, Sonia Lall and Ping Che

Plant Sciences Institute, Iowa State University, Ames, IA 50011, USA

Cytokinins promote the unfolding of a complex gene expression program in tissue culture that results in the formation of shoots. Much has been learned about cytokinin signaling in the past few years; the challenge now is to understand how known steps in cytokinin signaling interface with the process of shoot development in culture and *in planta*. Several ways have been found to block or bypass the requirement for cytokinins, and these findings have revealed key control steps in the shoot development process. Plant control is exercised not only in the promotion of shoot development, but also in its restraint under conditions where shoots are not ordinarily formed.

It is nearly 50 years since Folke Skoog and Carlos Miller [1] described the hormonal control of organ regeneration in plants, and yet developmental biologists continue to be fascinated by the capacity of plants to regenerate shoots. Despite the interest in regeneration as a developmental process, shoots are induced routinely in tissue culture for plant propagation and transgenic plant production purposes, typically by the addition of the hormone, cytokinin (CK).

Role of cytokinins in shoot development in planta

How do CKs act, and do they play the same role *in planta* as they do in tissue culture? These questions have been addressed in mutants and in transgenic plants in which the endogenous levels of CKs have been raised or lowered. For several years, investigators manipulated endogenous CK levels in planta by expressing bacterial isopentenyl transferase (ipt) genes in transgenic plants [2–22]. Overexpression of bacterial *ipt* genes in these studies is reported to elevate levels of zeatin (Z)-type CKs and affect a variety of traits including shoot development, leaf senescence and tolerance to stress. Typically, it was thought that Z-type CKs are synthesized by bacterial IPTs from precursor isopentenyl (IP)-type CKs (formed by conjugating IP-side chains to adenine nucleotide), which are then converted to Z-type CKs by stereo-specific hydroxylation on the IP side-chain (e.g. [23]). However, newer studies suggest that bacterial IPTs synthesize Z-type CKs directly through an IP-independent pathway, perhaps involving the conjugation of terpenoid side chains [24].

Recently, plant *ipt* genes have been discovered [25,26]. Nine *IPT* genes have been identified by sequence searches in the *Arabidopsis* genome; seven are CK biosynthetic genes related to bacterial *ipt* genes and two others are tRNA-ipt genes [27]. Multiple IPT genes suggest a high level of developmental and/or tissue-specific control of CK biosynthesis. Expression of some plant *ipt* genes has been manipulated experimentally. For example, an *ipt* gene, Shooting (Sho), in petunia has been activationally tagged resulting in a line with enhanced shoot formation in tissue culture and bushier plants (reduced apical dominance) when grown in soil [28]. Unlike, the overexpression of bacterial *ipt* genes, Sho expression enhances the accumulation of IP-type CKs in petunia and in transgenic tobacco expressing Sho. Estradiol-induced expression of AtIPT8 (also known as PGA22) in Arabidopsis also results in elevated levels of IP-type CKs [29]. Thus, overexpression of some plant *ipt* genes results in the accumulation of a different spectrum of CKs than overexpression of bacterial *ipt* genes, which, in turn, appears to have somewhat different developmental consequences.

Other mutations have been found with high endogenous CK levels. The Arabidopsis mutant altered meristem program 1 (amp1) shows pleiotropic phenotypes including elevated levels of endogenous CKs, altered shoot apical meristems, increased cell proliferation and cyclin (cycD3) expression, increased polycotyly, constitutive photomorphogenesis and early flowering time [30-33]. amp1 is allelic to primordia timing (pt), constitutively photomorphogenic 2 (cop2) and hauptling (hpt), and AMP1 was found to encode a glutamate carboxypeptidase [34]. This finding was unexpected and given that AMP1 might have effects other than CK metabolism, the phenotypes attributed to CK overproduction in the mutant need to be revisited. Another mutant called *high shoot-organogenic* capacity (hoc) also has high endogenous CK levels, produces shoots in culture in the absence of CK and has other whole plant characteristics typical of CK overproduction [35]. However, until the genes are identified, it is premature to associate the phenotype of hoc and other related mutants, such as cristal1 (cri1) [36], with CK overproduction.

Others have attempted to reduce CK levels by overexpressing CK oxidase, an enzyme involved in CK catabolism and first cloned from maize [37]. The expression of an *Arabidopsis* CK oxidase in transgenic tobacco results in plants with reduced levels of IP and Z-ype CKs, stunted shoots with smaller apical meristems and much reduced leaf production [38]. Reduction in CKs has opposite effects on shoots and roots. Root meristems in CK oxidase-expressing plants enlarge, leading to the production of faster-growing and more branched roots. Thus, CKs appear to have important roles in shoot (and root) development *in planta* – confirming the observations made in tissue culture.

Corresponding author: Stephen H. Howell (shh@iastate.edu).

http://plants.trends.com 1360-1385/\$ - see front matter © 2003 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/S1360-1385(03)00191-2

However, it is not clear whether shoot development in tissue culture is fully comparable to that *in planta*. In tissue culture, hormone levels have been optimized to achieve desired effects and not necessarily to mimic physiological situations. The quantitative and qualitative differences between hormone levels in tissue culture and in planta might mean, for example, that receptors with different affinities for CKs are activated. Therefore, it is important to compare developmental phenomena in tissue culture with those in planta, if possible.

Review

Cytokinin signaling

In the past few years, the basic structure of CK signaling pathways has been largely worked out in Arabidopsis (reviewed in [39–44]). The first major breakthrough was the identification by activational tagging of CYTOKININ INDEPENDENT 1 (CKI1), a gene that confers CKindependent shoot formation from callus in Arabidopsis tissue culture [45]. CKI1 encodes a histidine kinase (HK) related to sensory elements or receptors in two-component signaling pathways [46-48]. At first, CKI1 was hypothesized to be a CK receptor [45] but it has not been shown to bind CKs at physiological CK levels, therefore its role in CK signaling is still unclear.

CYTOKININ RESPONSE 1 (CRE1), an Arabidopsis gene discovered in a search for a loss-of-function mutant defective in shoot formation in culture, is generally accepted to encode a bona fide CK receptor [49]. CRE1 (or AHK4) encodes a hybrid HK with a sensor domain and two response regulator domains (Fig. 1). CRE1 functions as a CK receptor when tested in a heterologous system [49–51] and is a member of a clade in the Arabidopsis HK gene family, which includes AHK2 and AHK3 [39,40], both of which have CK receptor activity [42]. cre1-1 mutants do not have obvious shoot development defects in planta. However, a previously described mutant, wooden leg (wol), which was later recognized to be an allele of *cre1*, affects vascular formation in the root [52]. Thus, CRE1 might be a CK receptor that is primarily expressed and/or functions in roots.

CK receptors are thought to transmit CK signals in a multi-step phosphorelay system [53] to the nucleus via nuclear shuttle proteins called histidine phosphotransfer proteins (HPts or AHPs in Arabidopsis) (Fig. 1). Arabidopsis encodes six AHPs [40], and a GFP-tagged form of AHP1 accumulates in the nucleus of Arabidopsis protoplasts treated with trans-zeatin [54]. Overexpression of AHP2 (driven by the 35S promoter) has no immediate effect on plant development, However, in transgenic plants bearing 35S:AHP2 constructs, inhibition of root elongation is more sensitive to CKs [55].

Nuclear CK effectors are response regulators (RRs) that come in two flavors – A- and B-type (Fig. 1) [39–41]. B-type RRs have putative transcriptional activator domains at their C-termini whereas A-type do not [56]. Transfection of protoplasts with constructs encoding B-type RRs transactivates target genes, demonstrating that B-type RRs can function as gene expression activators [54,57]. Some A-type RRs, in certain contexts, are thought to act as repressors, and others appear to function as activators [58]. For example, transfection of constructs



Fig. 1. Generic cytokinin (CK) signaling pathway model represented as a multi-step phosphorelay system involving several modular components. Membranelocalized sensory histidine kinases (HKs) serve as CK receptors and upon activation, transfer phosphoryl groups to histidine phosphotransfer elements (HPs or HPts). HPs are proposed to act as shuttles that transfer their phosphoryl groups and activate nuclear-localized response regulators (RRs). B-type RRs have DNA binding domains and have been reported to function as transcriptional activators for genes such as those encoding CK-activated A-type RRs. A-type RRs are thought to act as repressors and/or transcriptional activators, perhaps by interaction with B-type RRs. Other unidentified elements might function as repressors of B-type RRs, and the signal from an activated HP could serve to liberate a B-type RR from a repressor. Abbreviations: CARE, cis-acting regulatory element; D, aspartic acid; H, histidine

encoding A-type RRs (ARR4-7) in Arabidopsis protoplasts interferes with the CK activation of target gene expression [54]. The Arabidopsis genome encodes several RRs, 10 to 11 A-type and 11 to 12 B-type RRs [39,40]. The large number of RR genes suggests that there is a diversity of functions and/or targets [27]. It will be interesting to determine in the future whether CK signals are transmitted by cognate interactions between specific HPts and their partner RRs or whether there are generic interactions and points of competition and crosstalk between signaling pathways [42].

Potential targets of B-type RRs have been identified through studies of genes that are rapidly upregulated by CKs. Ingrid Brandstatter and Joseph Kieber [59] found that some genes encoding A-type RRs are rapidly upregulated, and given that certain A-type RRs are thought to act as repressors, rapid activation of these genes might involve feedback repression of CK responses [54]. B-type ARRs bind to *cis*-acting regulatory elements (CAREs) bearing 5'(G/A)GAT(T/C)-3' motifs [57], which are found in the promoters of some A-type ARR genes [54]. Although it has not yet been shown directly that these

454

455

elements are responsible for A-type ARR gene upregulation, identification of CK-responsive CAREs might help to identify promoters of other genes that are targets of B-type RR action.

Shoot development program

Given what we know about CK signaling, how do CKs set in motion a program of shoot development? CKs might activate a gene expression cascade in which B-type RRs upregulate a group of genes (encoding, for example, transcription factors and signaling components) that in turn might activate the expression of another wave of genes. However, this model is too simplistic in several ways because, for example, CKs do not trigger shoot development (e.g. in root explants) but are required until the time of 'shoot commitment' [i.e. the time when explants can form shoots when transferred onto non-hormonecontaining basal medium (Fig. 2)]. Andrew Cary *et al.* [60] showed that shoot commitment occurred in *Arabidopsis* root explants midway through the 14-day incubation period on shoot induction medium (SIM).

In Arabidopsis, shoots can be induced from root explants in a two-step process – by preincubation on a hormonally balanced callus induction medium (CIM) followed by incubation on a CK-rich SIM [61–63]. During CIM preincubation, root explants 'acquire competence' to respond to the shoot induction signals (Fig. 2). What competence acquisition represents in molecular terms is not understood, but at a cellular level, competence is fully acquired when the pericycle cell layer of the root undergoes a single periclinal division [64].



Fig. 2. Developmental events during shoot regeneration from root explants in Arabidopsis tissue culture. Explants acquire competence during preincubation on callus induction medium (CIM) to respond to hormone signaling on shoot induction medium (SIM). Midway through the SIM incubation period, shoot development becomes independent of the presence of cytokinins (CKs) (shoot commitment). Colored arrows represent the expression profiles of some of the more important genes involved in shoot meristem development. Arrow width and color gradients approximate relative gene expression intensities. Modified, with permission, from [64]. Abbreviations: 2,4 D, synthetic auxin 2,4-dichlorophenyoxyacetic acid; CUC, CUP SHAPED COTYLEDON; IAA, indole-3-acetic acid; iP, N^6 -(Δ^2 -isopentenyl) adenine; RIM, root induction medium; STM, SHOOTMERISTEMLESS; WUS, WUSCHEL.

Ping Che *et al.* [65] used oligonucleotide array analysis to describe the program of gene expression that unfolds in Arabidopsis root explants during preincubation on CIM and incubation on SIM. 2-3% of Arabidopsis genes are upregulated more than fourfold (compared with time 0) at any time in the shoot development process. The expression profiles that contribute most significantly to the overall variation in gene expression were identified by principal component analysis, which showed most genes were upregulated at only one developmental stage. Thus, the overall picture that emerges is one in which genes are progressively turned on and off during shoot development. The genes that are upregulated at single developmental stages largely fall into functional categories that seem to follow a pattern. Several hormone response genes, in particular, some of the AUX/IAA genes, were upregulated shortly after explanting root segments on CIM [65]. Soon after transfer to SIM, nearly half of the upregulated genes (with assigned functions) encode transcription factors or signaling components. As expected, many genes encoding chloroplast components were upregulated around the time of shoot emergence. Unlike the upregulated genes, it was difficult to generalize about the function of genes that were downregulated at different developmental stages.

Shoot meristems begin to organize (in the Arabidopsis shoot regeneration system described above) about the time of shoot commitment and when the gene CUP SHAPED COTYLEDON2 (CUC2) is highly upregulated [64] (Fig. 2). CUC1 and CUC2 encode putative NAC1-domain transcription factors and either one is required in planta for SHOOTMERISTEMLESS (STM) expression and shoot meristem formation [66,67]. Although CUC1 and CUC2 act redundantly in planta, their effects in tissue culture appear to be additive in that although single cuc1 and cuc2 mutants reduce shoot development, the double mutant reduces it even more [68]. STM and WUSCHEL (WUS) are also required for shoot meristem formation or maintenance and are upregulated as meristem organization proceeds [64]. STM (in STM promoter:GUS constructs) is expressed at presumptive sites of shoot formation in cotyledon explants from Arabidopsis [69]. In 35S promoter:STM constructs, STM is capable of restoring normal shoot meristem formation at the shoot apex in stm mutants, even though similar constructs do not promote ectopic shoot formation in wild-type Arabidopsis [70]. From this it has been argued that STM requires other functions normally expressed in the shoot apex to promote shoot meristem formation. Those functions could be provided or elicited by WUS because when STM and WUS are both expressed in Arabidopsis under the control of the 35S promoter, shoot meristems form ectopically on hypocotyls and cotyledons [70]. However, the activity of these ectopic meristems is transient, indicating that STM and WUS, on their own, cannot sustain functional meristems.

The roles in shoot development of CK and KNOTTED-1 LIKE IN ARABIDOPSIS THALIANA (KNAT1), a homeobox-containing gene related to STM, seem to be intertwined [71]. Recently, it was reported that CK levels regulate KNAT1 expression, and KNAT1 overexpression results in higher endogenous CK levels. So, for example, steady-state levels of KNAT1 are elevated in transgenic *Arabidopsis* overproducing CKs (through the action of *Drosophila* heat shock promoter:bacterial *ipt* constructs described above) [2]. Likewise, overexpression of *KNAT1* in *Arabidopsis* promotes ectopic meristem formation on leaves [72], and, in lettuce, leads to the accumulation of IP-type CKs and shifts leaf determinate growth to a shoot-like indeterminate growth [73]. In spite of the apparent interconnections between CK and *KNAT1*, the targets of *KNAT1* action are not known, and it is not understood how CK activates *KNAT1* expression.

Bypassing cytokinins

456

Other genes have been identified that bypass the requirement for CKs in shoot development. They appear to function in the primary CK signaling pathway or are more immediate effectors of hormone action (Fig. 3). Overexpression of CKI1 promotes the CK-independent formation of shoots in tissue culture [45]. Overexpression of ARR2 (a B-type RR in Arabidopsis) does the same [54]. The effects of CKI1 and ARR2 overexpression are surprising given the expectation that the activation, and not merely the presence of the components, is required for signaling. However, in a protoplast system, transfected CKI1 stimulated the promoter of an A-type ARR gene in the absence of CK [54]. This finding suggests that CKI1 is constitutively active, and is seemingly capable of signaling shoot development in the absence of added CK. Transfections with ARR2 constructs basally activated the promoter of an A-type ARR gene in the absence CK; however, CK addition resulted in much higher activation [54]. Surprisingly ARR2 was activated even when its phosphoryl acceptor site was incapacitated leading to speculation that ARR2 might also be activated by release from a repressor, in addition to activation by phosphorylation at its phosphoryl acceptor site [54] (Fig. 3). This model provides an explanation to the paradox above - in that ARR2 overexpression could overwhelm the capacity of a repressor that keeps ARR2 at bay.

Another gene that when overexpressed stimulates shoot development is ENHANCER OF SHOOT DEVEL-OPMENT 1 (ESR1) (Fig. 3) [74]. ESR1 was identified by screening a cDNA library for genes that enhance shoot formation in the absence of CKs. ESR1 encodes an AP2 transcription factor (also known as EREBP), and when ESR1 expression was controlled with an estradiol-inducible promoter, shoot formation was enhanced by the combination of inducer and CK [74]. Hence ESR1 appears to interact synergistically with CK and does not bypass the need for the hormone altogether. The endogenous ESR1 gene is upregulated in root explants one to two days following transfer to SIM, and its upregulation is dependent on prior CIM preincubation [74]. This represents one of the first molecular observations that helps to explain the role of CIM preincubation in Arabidopsis shoot development in culture.

Overexpression of either CUC1 or CUC2 (driven by the 35S promoter) also promotes shoot formation from callus in the presence of CK [68], much like ESR1 does (Fig. 3). Hence, CUC1 and CUC2 are not able to bypass the requirement for CKs, but their overexpression enhances shoot development in the presence of CKs. It was



Fig. 3. Hypothetical interaction between cytokinin (CK) signaling and gene action pathways during shoot development. Events during preincubation on callus induction medium (CIM) are shown above the blue broken line, and events following transfer to shoot induction medium (SIM) are shown below the blue broken line. CKI1 is capable of promoting CK-independent signaling in CKI1-overexpressing plants and is upregulated before shoot commitment. KNAT1 overexpression is correlated with higher levels of endogenous CKs. CUC2 is highly upregulated about the time of shoot commitment and CUC1 or CUC2 expression activates STM function. The genes on the shoot development pathway are ordered by their patterns of expression, and, with the exception of CUC1, CUC2 and STM, the order does not imply a causal relationship in gene action. TSD, PAS and PRZ1 are thought to prevent the diversion of the shoot development signal to callus formation. However, in the absence of their function, callus hyperproliferates. Abbreviations: CKH, CYTOKININ HYPERSENSITIVE; CKI, CYTOKININ INDEPEN-DENT; CUC, CUP SHAPED COTYLEDON; ESR, ENHANCER OF SHOOT DEVELOP-MENT; IRE, INCREASED ORGAN REGENERATION; KNAT1, KNOTTED-1 LIKE IN ARABIDOPSIS THALIANA; PAS, PASTICCINO; PRZ, PROPORZ; RRs, response regulators; STM, SHOOTMERISTEMLESS; TSD, TUMOUROUS SHOOT DEVELOP-MENT; WUS, WUSCHEL.

interesting that overexpression of CUC1 and CUC2 in a stm1 mutant background stimulated adventitious leaf formation but not shoot development [75]. Hence, these genes promote an organogenic process that culminates in the formation of shoots in the presence of active STM, but produces only leaves in the absence of STM function.

Restraint of shoot development

The program of shoot development appears poised to run in many vegetative tissues, awaiting the appropriate hormone or environmental signals. Because of this, it is likely that certain gene functions restrain shoot development or hold it in check (Fig. 3). The loss-of-function mutation increased organ regeneration (ire) promotes more robust shoot formation at sub-optimal CK concentration in shoot regeneration from Arabidopsis root explants [60]. ire has modest effects on CK levels (more elevated Z-riboside-5'-monophosphate levels and somewhat reduced Z-N9-glucoside levels). The normal gene appears to restrain competence acquisition during preincubation on CIM because mutant root explants acquire competence more rapidly than the wild type do when responding to shoot induction signals [60]. CK hypersensitive 1 and CK hypersensitive 2 (ckh1 and ckh2) were selected for more prolific callus production from cotyledon explants at sub-optimal CK levels [76]. The mutants did not have increased CK levels and their only major plant phenotype was shortened inflorescences.

Somewhat related are Arabidopsis mutants in genes that appear to prevent CK signals from being diverted toward the formation of undifferentiated callus (Fig. 3). Recessive tumourous shoot development 1, 2 and 3 mutants (tsd1, 2 and 3) in Arabidopsis develop disorganized tumorous tissue instead of organized leaves and stems [77]. The green callus-like tumors that are produced are capable of unlimited growth in vitro on hormone-free medium. CK treatment of pasticcino 1, 2 and 3 mutants (pas1, 2 and 3) in Arabidopsis causes hypertrophy (uncoordinated cell divisions) in developing aerial organs [78]. PAS1 has been found to encode an immunophilin domain-containing protein [79] and PAS2 (also named PEPINO or PEP) encodes an anti-phosphatase [80,81]. In both the tsd and pas mutants, the expression levels of some of the shoot meristem genes (STM and KNAT1, KNAT2 or KNAT6) are elevated. No explanation has been offered for this phenomenon but we have represented it as upregulation of the genes in the shoot development pathway when the diversion of the CK signal leads to the hyperproliferation of callus, rather than to the formation of shoots (Fig. 3). Another mutant, *proporz1* (*prz1*) responds to organogenic signals, either auxin or CK, by the production of callus [82]. PRZ1 is identical to ADA2b, a gene that encodes a transcriptional adaptor protein that interacts with HAT AtGCN5, a histone acetylase transferase [83]. The role of these genes in shoot development is not known but it possible that they are modifiers of the primary CK signaling pathway or represent components of undescribed response pathways.

Future directions

The challenge for the future is to understand how primary cytokinin signaling promotes shoot development and how the downstream steps of shoot meristem formation and shoot development are controlled. As yet, we do not even have a rough outline of the gene regulatory steps that give rise to events such as the acquisition of competence and shoot commitment. Understanding the developmental pathway should aid in improving strategies for regenerating recalcitrant plant species, cultivars or varieties.

References

- 1 Skoog, F. and Miller, C.O. (1957) Chemical regulation of growth and organ formation in plant tissue cultured in vitro. *Symp. Soc. Exp. Biol.* 11, 118–131
- 2 Rupp, H.M. et al. (1999) Increased steady state mRNA levels of the STM and KNAT1 homeobox genes in cytokinin overproducing Arabidopsis thaliana indicate a role for cytokinins in the shoot apical meristem. Plant J. 18, 557-563
- 3 McKenzie, M.J. *et al.* (1998) Controlled cytokinin production in transgenic tobacco using a copper-inducible promoter. *Plant Physiol.* 116, 969–977
- 4 Faiss, M. et al. (1997) Conditional transgenic expression of the *ipt* gene indicates a function for cytokinins in paracrine signaling in whole tobacco plants. Plant J. 12, 401-415
- 5 Redig, P. et al. (1997) Regulation of cytokinin oxidase activity in tobacco callus expressing the T-DNA *ipt* gene. Phys. Plant. 99, 89–96
- 6 Redig, P. et al. (1996) Analysis of cytokinin metabolism in *ipt* transgenic tobacco by liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry. *Plant Physiol.* 112, 141–148
- 7 Zhang, X.D. et al. (1996) Expression of the isopentenyl transferase gene is regulated by auxin in transgenic tobacco tissues. Transgenic Res. 5, 57–65
- 8 Ekloff, S. et al. (1996) Cytokinin metabolites and gradients in wild type and transgenic tobacco with moderate cytokinin over-production. *Physiol. Plant.* 98, 333–344
- 9 Groot, S.P.C. et al. (1995) Increase of endogenous zeatin riboside by introduction of the *ipt* gene in wild type and the lateral suppressor mutant of tomato. Plant Growth Regul. 16, 27–36
- 10 Smigocki, A.C. (1995) Expression of a wound-inducible cytokinin biosynthesis gene in transgenic tobacco: correlation of root expression with induction of cytokinin effects. *Plant Sci.* 109, 153-163
- 11 Thomas, J.C. et al. (1995) Light-induced expression of *ipt* from Agrobacterium tumefaciens results in cytokinin accumulation and osmotic stress symptoms in transgenic tobacco. Plant Mol. Biol. 27, 225-235
- 12 Black, R.C. et al. (1994) Cell-autonomous cytokinin-independent growth of tobacco cells transformed by Agrobacterium tumefaciens strains lacking the cytokinin biosynthesis gene. Plant Physiol. 105, 989–998
- 13 Li, Y. *et al.* (1994) Transgenic tobacco plants that overproduce cytokinins show increased tolerance to exogenous auxin and auxin transport inhibitors. *Plant Sci.* 100, 9–14
- 14 Harding, S.A. and Smigocki, A.C. (1994) Cytokinins modulate stress response genes in isopentenyl transferase-transformed Nicotiana plumbaginifolia plants. Physiol. Plant. 90, 327–333
- 15 Estruch, J.J. et al. (1993) Floral development and expression of floral homeotic genes are influenced by cytokinins. Plant J. 4, 379–384
- 16 Li, Y. et al. (1992) Altered morphology in transgenic tobacco plants that overproduce cytokinins in specific tissues and organs. Dev. Biol. 153, 386–395
- 17 Smart, C.M. et al. (1991) Delayed leaf senescence in tobacco plants transformed with tmr a gene for cytokinin production in Agrobacterium. Plant Cell 3, 647–656
- 18 Beinsberger, S.E.I. et al. (1991) Effects of the introduction of Agrobacterium tumefaciens T-DNA ipt gene in Nicotiana tabacum L cv petit Havana SR1 plant cells. Plant Cell Physiol. 32, 489–496
- 19 Paulus, F. et al. (1991) Sequence of the *iaa* and *ipt* region of different Agrobacterium tumefaciens biotype-III octopine strains – reconstruction of octopine Ti plasmid evolution. Plant Mol. Biol. 16, 601-614
- 20 Smigocki, A.C. (1991) Cytokinin content and tissue distribution in plants transformed by a reconstructed isopentenyl transferase gene. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 16, 105–115
- 21 Smigocki, A.C. and Owens, L.D. (1989) Cytokinin-to-auxin ratios and morphology of shoots and tissues transformed by a chimeric isopentenyl transferase gene. *Plant Physiol.* 91, 808-811
- 22 Smigocki, A.C. and Owens, L.D. (1988) Cytokinin gene fused with a strong promoter enhances shoot organogenesis and zeatin levels in transformed plant cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 85, 5131–5135

458

Review

- 23 Mok, D.W. and Mok, M.C. (2001) Cytokinin metabolism and action. Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol. 52, 89-118
- 24 Astot, C. et al. (2000) An alternative cytokinin biosynthesis pathway. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 97, 14778–14783
- 25 Takei, K. et al. (2001) Identification of genes encoding adenylate isopentenyltransferase, a cytokinin biosynthesis enzyme, in Arabidopsis thaliana. J. Biol. Chem. 276, 26405-26410
- 26 Kakimoto, T. (2001) Identification of plant cytokinin biosynthetic enzymes as dimethylallyl diphosphate:ATP/ADP isopentenyltransferases. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 42, 677–685
- 27 Haberer, G. and Kieber, J.J. (2002) Cytokinins. New insights into a classic phytohormone. *Plant Physiol.* 128, 354–362
- 28 Zubko, E. et al. (2002) Activation tagging identifies a gene from Petunia hybrida responsible for the production of active cytokinins in plants. Plant J. 29, 797–808
- 29 Sun, J. et al. (2003) The Arabidopsis AtIPT8/PGA22 gene encodes an isopentenyl transferase that is involved in de novo cytokinin biosynthesis. Plant Physiol. 131, 167–176
- 30 Chaudhury, A.M. et al. (1993) amp1 a mutant with high cytokinin levels and altered embryonic pattern, faster vegetative growth, constitutive photomorphogenesis and precocious flowering. Plant J. 4, 907–916
- 31 Chin Atkins, A.N. et al. (1996) Increased endogenous cytokinin in the Arabidopsis amp1 mutant corresponds with de-etiolation responses. Planta 198, 549–556
- 32 Nogue, F. et al. (2000) Higher levels of cell proliferation rate and cyclin CycD3 expression in the Arabidopsis amp1 mutant. Plant Growth Regul. 32, 275-283
- 33 Nogue, F. et al. (2000) Cytokinin biosynthesis is higher in the Arabidopsis amp1 mutant. Plant Growth Regul. 32, 267–273
- 34 Helliwell, C.A. *et al.* (2001) The Arabidopsis AMP1 gene encodes a putative glutamate carboxypeptidase. *Plant Cell* 13, 2115–2125
- 35 Catterou, M. et al. (2002) hoc: an Arabidopsis mutant overproducing cytokinins and expressing high in vitro organogenic capacity. Plant J. 30, 273–287
- 36 Santoni, V. et al. (1997) A comparison of two-dimensional electrophoresis data with phenotypical traits in Arabidopsis leads to the identification of a mutant (cri1) that accumulates cytokinins. Planta 202, 62–69
- 37 Morris, R.O. et al. (1999) Isolation of a gene encoding a glycosylated cytokinin oxidase from maize. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 255, 328–333
- 38 Werner, T. et al. (2001) Regulation of plant growth by cytokinin. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 98, 10487-10492
- 39 Hutchison, C.E. and Kieber, J.J. (2002) Cytokinin signaling in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 14 (Suppl.), S47-S59
- 40 Hwang, I. et al. (2002) Two-component signal transduction pathways in Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol. 129, 500-515
- 41 Imamura, A. *et al.* (1999) Compilation and characterization of *Arabidopsis thaliana* response regulators implicated in His-Asp phosphorelay signal transduction. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 40, 733-742
- 42 Oka, A. *et al.* (2002) His-Asp phosphorelay signal transduction in higher plants: receptors and response regulators for cytokinin signaling in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Genes Genet. Syst.* 77, 383-391
- 43 Schmulling, T. (2001) CREam of cytokinin signalling: receptor identified. *Trends Plant Sci.* 6, 281–284
- 44 Sheen, J. (2002) Phosphorelay and transcription control in cytokinin signal transduction. *Science* 296, 1650–1652
- 45 Kakimoto, T. (1996) CKI1, a histidine kinase homolog implicated in cytokinin signal transduction. *Science* 274, 982–985
- 46 Lohrmann, J. and Harter, K. (2002) Plant two-component signaling systems and the role of response regulators. *Plant Physiol.* 128, 363-369
- 47 West, A.H. and Stock, A.M. (2001) Histidine kinases and response regulator proteins in two-component signaling systems. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* 26, 369–376
- 48 Stock, A.M. et al. (2000) Two-component signal transduction. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 69, 183-215
- 49 Inoue, T. et al. (2001) Identification of CRE1 as a cytokinin receptor from Arabidopsis. Nature 409, 1060–1063
- 50 Suzuki, T. et al. (2001) The Arabidopsis sensor His-kinase, AHK4, can respond to cytokinins. Plant Cell Physiol. 42, 107–113
- 51 Yamada, H. et al. (2001) The Arabidopsis AHK4 histidine kinase is a

cytokinin-binding receptor that transduces cytokinin signals across the membrane. Plant Cell Physiol. $42,\,1017-1023$

- 52 Mahonen, A.P. et al. (2000) A novel two-component hybrid molecule regulates vascular morphogenesis of the Arabidopsis root. Genes Dev. 14, 2938–2943
- 53 Appleby, J.L. *et al.* (1996) Signal transduction via the multi-step phosphorelay: not necessarily a road less traveled. *Cell* 86, 845-848
- 54 Hwang, I. and Sheen, J. (2001) Two-component circuitry in Arabidopsis cytokinin signal transduction. Nature 413, 383-389
- 55 Suzuki, T. et al. (2002) An Arabidopsis histidine-containing phosphotransfer (HPt) factor implicated in phosphorelay signal transduction: overexpression of AHP2 in plants results in hypersensitiveness to cytokinin. Plant Cell Physiol. 43, 123–129
- 56 Sakai, H. et al. (1998) Two-component response regulators from Arabidopsis thaliana contain a putative DNA-binding motif. Plant Cell Physiol. 39, 1232–1239
- 57 Sakai, H. et al. (2000) Arabidopsis ARR1 and ARR2 response regulators operate as transcriptional activators. Plant J. 24, 703-711
- 58 Osakabe, Y. et al. (2002) Overexpression of Arabidopsis response regulators, ARR4/ATRR1/IBC7 and ARR8/ATRR3, alters cytokinin responses differentially in the shoot and in callus formation. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 293, 806–815
- 59 Brandstatter, I. and Kieber, J.J. (1998) Two genes with similarity to bacterial response regulators are rapidly and specifically induced by cytokinin in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell* 10, 1009–1019
- 60 Cary, A. et al. (2001) Arabidopsis mutants with increased organ regeneration in tissue culture are more competent to respond to hormonal signals. Planta 213, 700-707
- 61 Valvekens, D. et al. (1988) Agrobacterium tumefaciens-mediated transformation of Arabidopsis thaliana root explants by using kanamycin selection. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 85, 5536-5540
- 62 Yasutani, I. et al. (1994) Isolation of temperature-sensitive mutants of Arabidopsis thaliana that are defective in the redifferentiation of shoots. Plant Physiol. 105, 815-822
- 63 Ozawa, S. et al. (1998) Organogenic responses in tissue culture of srd mutants of Arabidopsis thaliana. Development 125, 135-142
- 64 Cary, A.J. et al. (2002) Developmental events and shoot meristem gene expression patterns during shoot development in Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant J. 32, 867–877
- 65 Che, P. et al. (2002) Global and cytokinin-related gene expression changes during shoot development in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 14, 2771-2785
- 66 Aida, M. et al. (1997) Genes involved in organ separation in Arabidopsis: an analysis of the cup-shaped cotyledon mutant. Plant Cell 9, 841–857
- 67 Takada, S. et al. (2001) The CUP-SHAPED COTYLEDON1 gene of Arabidopsis regulates shoot apical meristem formation. Development 128, 1127-1135
- 68 Daimon, Y. et al. (2003) The CUP-SHAPED COTYLEDON genes promote adventitious shoot formation on calli. Plant Cell Physiol. 44, 113–121
- 69 Zhao, Q-H. et al. (2002) Developmental phases and STM expression during Arabidopsis shoot organogenesis. Plant Growth Regul. 37, 223–231
- 70 Gallois, J. et al. (2002) Combined SHOOT MERISTEMLESS and WUSCHEL trigger ectopic organogenesis in Arabidopsis. Development 129, 3207–3217
- 71 Lincoln, C. et al. (1994) A knotted 1-like homeobox gene in Arabidopsis is expressed in the vegetative meristem and dramatically alters leaf morphology when overexpressed in transgenic plants. Plant Cell 6, 1859–1876
- 72 Chuck, G. et al. (1996) KNAT1 induces lobed leaves with ectopic meristems when overexpressed in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 8, 1277–1289
- 73 Frugis, G. et al. (2001) Overexpression of KNAT1 in lettuce shifts leaf determinate growth to a shoot-like indeterminate growth associated with an accumulation of isopentenyl-type cytokinins. Plant Physiol. 126, 1370–1380
- 74 Banno, H. et al. (2001) Overexpression of Arabidopsis ESR1 induces initiation of shoot regeneration. Plant Cell 13, 2609–2618
- 75 Aida, M. et al. (1999) Shoot apical meristem and cotyledon formation during Arabidopsis embryogenesis: interaction among

the CUP-SHAPED COTYLEDON and SHOOT MERISTEMLESS genes. Development 126, 1563-1570

- 76 Kubo, M. and Kakimoto, T. (2000) The cytokinin-hypersensitive genes of *Arabidopsis* negatively regulate the cytokinin-signaling pathway for cell division and chloroplast development. *Plant J.* 23, 385–394
- 77 Frank, M. et al. (2002) TUMOROUS SHOOT DEVELOPMENT (TSD) genes are required for co-ordinated plant shoot development. Plant J. 29, 73–85
- 78 Faure, J.D. et al. (1998) The PASTICCINO genes of Arabidopsis thaliana are involved in the control of cell division and differentiation. Development 125, 909-918
- 79 Vittorioso, P. et al. (1998) Mutation in the Arabidopsis PASTICCINO1 gene, which encodes a new FK506-binding protein-like protein, has a dramatic effect on plant development. Mol. Cell. Biol. 18, 3034–3043
- 80 Haberer, G. et al. (2002) The Arabidopsis gene PEPINO/PASTIC-CINO2 is required for proliferation control of meristematic and nonmeristematic cells and encodes a putative anti-phosphatase. Dev. Genes Evol. 212, 542-550
- 81 Bellec, Y. et al. (2002) PASTICCINO2 is a protein tyrosine phosphatase-like involved in cell proliferation and differentiation in Arabidopsis. Plant J. 32, 713–722
- 82 Sieberer, T. et al. (2003) PROPORZ1, a putative Arabidopsis transcriptional adaptor protein, mediates auxin and cytokinin signals in the control of cell proliferation. Curr. Biol. 13, 837–842
- 83 Vlachonasios, K.E. et al. (2003) Disruption mutations of ADA2b and GCN5 transcriptional adaptor genes dramatically affect Arabidopsis growth, development, and gene expression. Plant Cell 15, 626-638

Could you name the most significant papers published in life sciences this month?

Updated daily, **Research Update** presents short, easy-to-read commentary on the latest hot papers, enabling you to keep abreast with advances across the life sciences. Written by laboratory scientists with a keen understanding of their field, **Research Update** will clarify the significance and future impact of this research.

Our experienced in-house team is under the guidance of a panel of experts from across the life sciences who offer suggestions and advice to ensure that we have high calibre authors and have spotted the 'hot' papers.

Visit the Research Update daily at http://update.bmn.com and sign up for email alerts to make sure you don't miss a thing.

This is your chance to have your opinion read by the life science community, if you would like to contribute, contact us at research.update@elsevier.com